

Transfer matrices, non-Hermitian Hamiltonians and resolvents: some spectral identities

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1998 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 8553

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/31/42/014>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.104

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 07:17

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Transfer matrices, non-Hermitian Hamiltonians and resolvents: some spectral identities

Luca Molinari†

Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Milano and INFN, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

Received 19 June 1998

Abstract. I consider the N -step transfer matrix T for a general block Hamiltonian, with eigenvalue equation

$$L_n \psi_{n+1} + H_n \psi_n + L_{n-1}^\dagger \psi_{n-1} = E \psi_n$$

where H_n and L_n are matrices, and provide its explicit representation in terms of blocks of the resolvent of the Hamiltonian matrix for the system of length N with boundary conditions $\psi_0 = \psi_{N+1} = 0$. I then introduce the related Hamiltonian for the case $\psi_0 = z^{-1} \psi_N$ and $\psi_{N+1} = z \psi_1$, and provide an exact relation between the trace of its resolvent and $\text{Tr}(T - z)^{-1}$, together with an identity of Thouless type connecting $\text{Tr}(\log|T|)$ with the Hamiltonian eigenvalues for $z = e^{i\phi}$. The results are then extended to $T^\dagger T$ by showing that it is itself a transfer matrix. Besides being of mathematical interest, the identities should be useful for an analytical approach in the study of spectral properties of a physically relevant class of transfer matrices.

1. Introduction

Several discretized models are described by a Hamiltonian matrix \mathcal{H} with tridiagonal structure made of blocks $H_n = H_n^\dagger$ along the main diagonal, and blocks L_n, L_n^\dagger , with $\det L_n \neq 0$, respectively in the adjacent upper and lower diagonals, the blocks having size $M \times M$. The diagonal matrices may describe the inner dynamics of a sequence of finite subsystems, and the off-diagonal matrices are the couplings between neighbouring ones.

An important and extensively studied example is Anderson's model for electronic transport in a D -dimensional lattice with random potential, which for $D = 3$ exhibits a metal–insulator transition [1]. The single matrices H_n are random Hamiltonians for the isolated slices of dimension $D - 1$ and, in the simplest case, the couplings L_n are proportional to the unit matrix, as implied by the discretization of the Laplacian. They may also be complex, in the presence of a magnetic field [2], or random, due to random hopping amplitudes [3]. Another frequently studied model is the ensemble of band random matrices [4], where H_n is a member of GOE or GUE and L_n are random and lower triangular matrices. They found applications in quantum chaos [5], one particle mesoscopic transport [6] and the propagation of two particles in disordered media [7]. The block structure also arises in the Fourier representation of the Floquet Hamiltonian $H_t = H_0 + V^\dagger e^{i\omega t} + V e^{-i\omega t} - i\partial_t$, giving $H_n = H_0 + n\omega$ and $L = V$. Block Hamiltonians have also been investigated in the context of matrix models [8], the blocks being rotationally invariant for the methods to apply.

† E-mail: luca.molinari@milano.infn.it

The eigenvalue equation for \mathcal{H} , in block components, is

$$L_n \psi_{n+1} + H_n \psi_n + L_{n-1}^\dagger \psi_{n-1} = E \psi_n. \quad (1.1)$$

One is often interested in asymptotic properties of eigenvectors. A basic tool for this analysis, which exploits the recursive content of the eigenvalue equation, is the transfer matrix, connecting pairs of components of the vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_N \psi_{N+1} \\ \psi_N \end{pmatrix} = T(E) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ L_0^\dagger \psi_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.2)$$

The transfer matrix has size $2M \times 2M$ and it is convenient to factorize it as follows:

$$T(E) = T_N(E) \Sigma_{N-1} T_{N-1}(E) \Sigma_{N-2} \cdots \Sigma_1 T_1(E) \quad (1.3)$$

$$T_k(E) = \begin{pmatrix} E - H_k & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Sigma_k = \begin{pmatrix} L_k^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & L_k^\dagger \end{pmatrix}.$$

By allowing for complex values of the parameter E , for the single factors and then for the whole product, one obtains the important symplectic property

$$T(E^*)^\dagger \sigma_2 T(E) = \sigma_2 \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.4)$$

where I is the identity matrix of size M . Another consequence of the factorized expression is $\det T(E) = \prod_k \det[L_k^\dagger L_k^{-1}]$, which implies $|\det T(E)| = 1$.

General theorems assert that the eigenvalues t_a of transfer matrices built with random factors grow or decrease exponentially with N [9], allowing the definition of characteristic exponents

$$\gamma_a(E) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log |t_a(E)| \quad (1.5)$$

which, in the case of $(T^\dagger T)^{1/2}$, constitute the Lyapunov spectrum of the model. The analytical derivation of a Lyapunov spectrum is usually extremely difficult, the alternative being careful numerical work to cope with exponential instabilities. For 2×2 matrices ($M = 1$), a relevant formula by Herbert and Jones, rediscussed by Thouless and bearing his name, connects the single Lyapunov exponent to the eigenvalue density of the ensemble of Jacobi Hamiltonians [10]. However, the density is by no means a simpler problem; an exception is Lloyd's model, characterized by diagonal disorder with Cauchy distribution: in this case the analytical expression of $\gamma(E)$ is known [10]. The statistical properties of the Lyapunov exponent and various generalizations were investigated extensively by Pendry [11].

One of the rare solvable examples in more than one dimension is given by Isopi and Newman [12], who studied products of matrices all of whose entries are identically distributed random variables, and found analytically a 'triangle law' for the Lyapunov spectrum; Cook and Derrida considered the case of randomly sparse matrices [13]. A beautiful statistical theory of transfer matrices, based on few physical constraints, has been introduced by Mello and others to describe transport properties in disordered multichannel conductors, obtaining the observed value for universal conductance fluctuations [14]. In general, however, the transfer matrix is a derived object, which inherits a structure from the specific recurrence or dynamical equation under examination. Its statistical properties depend in a complicated way on fluctuating parameters which enter more naturally, for example, in the characterization of an ensemble of Hamiltonians.

For the class of Hamiltonians we are considering, analytical results are lacking. Many extensive numerical calculations have been carried out for the Anderson model. It was by

means of transfer matrices that Kramer and MacKinnon first exhibited the metal–insulator transition in three dimensions [15], through the different scaling behaviour in the transverse area M of the smallest Lyapunov exponent. A recent numerical study by Markos [16] provides the whole Lyapunov spectrum, which is sensitive to the transition. The Lyapunov spectrum of band random matrices was obtained numerically by Kottos *et al* [17], with a discussion of finite-size corrections.

The aim of this paper is to investigate some general mathematical properties of the transfer matrix $T(E)$ that arise from the block structure of a single but generic Hamiltonian matrix \mathcal{H} , of size NM , corresponding to (1.1) with boundary condition $\psi_0 = \psi_{N+1} = 0$.

In [18] I showed that the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix are most directly related to those of a matrix $\mathcal{H}(z)$ of size NM , which in general is not Hermitian and has block structure

$$\mathcal{H}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 & L_1 & & & & (1/z)I \\ L_1^\dagger & H_2 & L_2 & & & \\ & L_2^\dagger & \cdots & & & \\ & & & \cdots & H_{N-1} & L_{N-1} \\ zI & & & & L_{N-1}^\dagger & H_N \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.6)$$

resulting from the eigenvalue equation (1.1) with boundary conditions specified through a complex parameter z :

$$L_N \psi_{N+1} = z \psi_1 \quad L_0^\dagger \psi_0 = \frac{1}{z} \psi_N. \quad (1.7)$$

The relation is based on the following simple statement, whose proof is straightforward:

A vector $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_N)$ is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{H}(z)$ with eigenvalue E if and only if $(z\psi_1, \psi_N)$ is an eigenvector of $T(E)$ with eigenvalue z , the components $\psi_2, \dots, \psi_{N-1}$ being linked to ψ_N and $L_N \psi_{N+1} = z\psi_1$ by (1.1).

It implies that the characteristic polynomials of $T(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}(z)$ are proportional, and eventually leads to the following ‘duality relation’:

$$\det[T(E) - z] = (-z)^M \det(L_{N-1} \cdots L_1)^{-1} \det[E - \mathcal{H}(z)]. \quad (1.8)$$

A new proof will be given in section 2, after having derived an explicit representation of the matrix $T(E)$ in terms of the corner blocks G_{ij} , $i, j = 1, N$, of the resolvent $G = (\mathcal{H} - E)^{-1}$ of the Hamiltonian matrix \mathcal{H} , for the system of length N . The Hamiltonian has block structure (1.6) with null matrices replacing the z -dependent corner blocks, corresponding to the boundary requirement $\psi_0 = \psi_{N+1} = 0$.

In this paper I note that the derivative in the variable z gives a relation between resolvents

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{T(E) - z} \right) = -\frac{M}{z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \log \det[E - \mathcal{H}(z)]. \quad (1.9)$$

The equation also follows from a representation of $[T(E) - z]^{-1}$ in terms of the corner blocks of the resolvent $\tilde{G} = (\mathcal{H}(z) - E)^{-1}$, to be obtained in section 4. If \mathcal{H} were to belong to an ensemble, it would provide access to the spectral density of $T(E)$ by relating the difficult problem of averaging the resolvent of the transfer matrix, which depends on the ensemble parameters in a complex way, to the average of a ratio of determinants of the Hamiltonian itself.

The general discussion for $T(E)$ will be extended in section 5 to cover the relevant matrix $T(E)^\dagger T(E)$, by showing that it is itself the transfer matrix of a tridiagonal block Hamiltonian, of size $2NM$.

The main results of the paper can be summarized in the following equations: equation (1.9) or its equivalent (3.6) between traces of resolvents, equations (2.3) and (4.3) which provide the representation of the transfer matrix in terms of corner blocks of resolvents, equations (3.5) and (5.4) which generalize Thouless' relation to $M > 1$ and relate Lyapunov exponents to the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian matrix, the duality relation (5.6) for the matrix $T^\dagger T$.

The results described are exact and general. Hopefully, this work should provide an analytical framework for the difficult task of investigating average spectral properties of transfer matrices, constructed from an ensemble of Hamiltonians of this type. One further motivation is the recent interest in non-Hermitian matrices, which have now been investigated extensively, mainly in the one-dimensional case $M = 1$ [19], precisely with the tridiagonal structure and boundary conditions generalized here. In one dimension, the eigenvalues are distributed along curves in the complex plane [20] and real eigenvalues correspond to delocalized eigenvectors [21]. The here established relationship with the transfer matrix makes non Hermitian matrices an obvious object for investigating localization properties of eigenvectors. For $M = 1$ this was done by Brouwer *et al* [22].

2. The transfer matrix and the resolvent of \mathcal{H}

In this section I obtain a block representation of $T(E)$ in terms of the corner blocks of the resolvent of \mathcal{H} . I then prove the duality relation (1.8).

For complex E , let us introduce the resolvent $G(E) = (\mathcal{H} - E)^{-1}$. It is a matrix made of N^2 square blocks G_{ij} of size $M \times M$. The relation $[G(E)_{ij}]^\dagger = G(E^*)_{ji}$ holds. By definition

$$L_{i-1}^\dagger G_{i-1,j} + (H_i - E)G_{i,j} + L_i G_{i+1,j} = I \delta_{ij}. \quad (2.1)$$

By solving the recurrence relations for $j = 1$ and $j = N$, one obtains two identities involving the transfer matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ G_{N,1} \end{pmatrix} = T(E) \begin{pmatrix} G_{1,1} \\ -I \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -I \\ G_{N,N} \end{pmatrix} = T(E) \begin{pmatrix} G_{1,N} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

They can be joined into a matrix relation, which gives a representation of the transfer matrix in terms of the corner blocks of the resolvent:

$$T(E) = \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ G_{N,N} & G_{N,1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G_{1,N} & G_{1,1} \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \quad (2.3a)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -G_{1,N}^{-1} & -G_{1,N}^{-1} G_{1,1} \\ G_{N,N} G_{1,N}^{-1} & -G_{N,1} + G_{N,N} G_{1,N}^{-1} G_{1,1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.3b)$$

One checks that the symplectic property (1.4) is identically satisfied. Note that each block component of $T(E)$ is a matrix polynomial in E , and is explicitly represented here in terms of the resolvent of the Hamiltonian. By varying the number N of factors in $T(E)$, one obtains a collection of matrix polynomials which follow orthogonality relations that extend to $M > 1$ the familiar notion of orthogonal polynomials of Jacobi matrices [23].

With the aim of deriving the duality relation, I first prove

$$\det G_{1,N}^{-1} = \det[L_1 \cdots L_{N-1}]^{-1} \det[E - \mathcal{H}]. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. A vector (ψ_1, \dots, ψ_N) is an eigenvector of \mathcal{H} with eigenvalue E if and only if it solves (1.1) with boundary conditions $\psi_{N+1} = \psi_0 = 0$. These conditions, by equations (1.2) and (2.3b), are equivalent to the requirement $0 = G_{1,N}^{-1} \psi_1$. By construction $T(E)$ is a

polynomial in E of degree N with matrix coefficients; then $\det G_{1,N}^{-1}$ is a polynomial in E of degree NM and leading term $(-1)^M E^{MN} \det[L_{N-1}^{-1} \cdots L_1^{-1}]$. The polynomials $\det[E - \mathcal{H}]$ and $\det[G_{1,N}^{-1}]$ share all zeros and are therefore proportional by a numerical factor obtained from comparison of the leading terms. \square

I now give a proof of the duality relation (1.8), which is different to that provided in [18].

Proof. By writing $\mathcal{H}(z) = \mathcal{H} + V(z)$, where $V(z)$ is zero everywhere except for the corner blocks $V_{1,N} = (1/z)I$ and $V_{N,1} = zI$, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \det[E - \mathcal{H}(z)] &= \det[E - \mathcal{H}] \det[I + G(E)V(z)] \\ &= \det[E - \mathcal{H}] \det \begin{pmatrix} I + zG_{1,N} & (1/z)G_{1,1} \\ zG_{N,N} & I + (1/z)G_{N,1} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

On the other hand, by using algebraic properties of determinants, from equation (2.3b) one obtains

$$\det[T(E) - z] = z^M \det[G_{1,N}^{-1}] \det \begin{pmatrix} I + zG_{1,N} & (1/z)G_{1,1} \\ zG_{N,N} & I + (1/z)G_{N,1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

By taking into account the property (2.4), the duality relation (1.8) follows. \square

3. The duality relations

Here I discuss some consequences of the duality relation

$$\det[T(E) - z] = (-z)^M \det(L_{N-1} \cdots L_1)^{-1} \det[E - \mathcal{H}(z)]. \quad (3.1)$$

An identity for the inverse transfer matrix is obtained from the adjoint of (3.1), with the aid of the properties $T(E^*)^\dagger = -\sigma_2 T(E)^{-1} \sigma_2$ and $\mathcal{H}(z^*)^\dagger = \mathcal{H}(1/z)$:

$$\det[T(E)^{-1} - z] = (-z)^M \det(L_{N-1}^\dagger \cdots L_1^\dagger)^{-1} \det[E - \mathcal{H}(1/z)]. \quad (3.2)$$

The product of the two identities immediately yields an identity which was used in [18] to study the structure of bands and the dynamics of eigenvalues of the Hermitian Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}(e^{i\varphi})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \det[T(E) + T(E)^{-1} - (z + 1/z)] \\ = |\det(L_{N-1} \cdots L_1)|^{-2} \det[E - \mathcal{H}(z)] \det[E - \mathcal{H}(1/z)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

A simple general consequence of (3.1) is that, for $\text{Im } E \neq 0$, the transfer matrix $T(E)$ has no eigenvalues on the unit circle, since the right-hand term of (3.1) never vanishes for a Hermitian matrix. More generally, this is true for E not in the union of the bands B_k , $k = 1, \dots, NM$, each one being defined as the interval of the real axis spanned by the eigenvalue $E_k(\varphi)$ of the Hermitian matrix $\mathcal{H}(e^{i\varphi})$, as φ varies in $[0, 2\pi)$.

From the symplectic property (1.4) it follows that if t is an eigenvalue of $T(E)$ with $|t| \neq 1$, then $1/t^*$ is an eigenvalue of $T(E^*)$. In particular, for real E , the $2M$ eigenvalues of $T(E)$ occur in pairs $t, 1/t^*$, unless $|t| = 1$. The number ν of pairs of eigenvalues on the unit circle coincides with the number of bands with intersection in E [18].

Let us denote the eigenvalues of $T(E)$, E real, as

$$t_a = e^{\pm\lambda_a + i\theta_a} \quad a = 1, \dots, M - \nu \quad t_b = e^{i\theta_b} \quad b = 1, \dots, 2\nu$$

and write the modulus of (3.1), with real E and $z = e^{i\varphi}$, in terms of them. After some simple algebra

$$\prod_{a=1}^{M-\nu} (2 \cosh \lambda_a - 2 \cos(\theta_a - \varphi)) \prod_{b=1}^{2\nu} 2 \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}|\theta_b - \varphi|\right) \\ = |\det(L_{N-1} \cdots L_1)|^{-1} |\det[E - \mathcal{H}(e^{i\varphi})]|. \quad (3.4)$$

By taking the logarithm of it and integrating the phase φ in $[0, 2\pi)$ one obtains a remarkably simple and interesting relation:

$$\sum_{a=1}^{M-\nu} \lambda_a(E) = - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \log |\det L_j| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \log |\det[E - \mathcal{H}(e^{i\varphi})]|. \quad (3.5)$$

This formula is exact, and is valid for a single matrix. In the large- N limit and in a statistical context, one would have the average behaviour $\langle \lambda_a \rangle = N\gamma_a$, where γ_a is independent of N . The right hand side of (3.5) would be evaluated by means of the ensemble and φ -averaged density of eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H}(e^{i\varphi})$. The equation would then provide a generalization to $M > 1$ of the Thouless relation between the Lyapunov spectrum and the average eigenvalue density of the Hamiltonian ensemble [10].

If instead we take in (3.1) the derivative in the variable z , by using the property $d(\det(A+zI))/dz = \det(A+zI) \operatorname{tr}(A+zI)^{-1}$, we obtain equation (1.9). Also the derivative in the right hand side can be computed, and gives the following final formula, where \tilde{G}_{ij} are the blocks of size $M \times M$ that partition the resolvent $\tilde{G}(z, E) = [\mathcal{H}(z) - E]^{-1}$:

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{T(E) - z} \right) = -\frac{M}{z} - \operatorname{Tr} \tilde{G}_{1,N} + \frac{1}{z^2} \operatorname{Tr} \tilde{G}_{N,1}. \quad (3.6)$$

The same formula follows from a stronger result, to be given in the next section.

4. The transfer matrix and the resolvent of $\mathcal{H}(z)$

A representation of the resolvent of the transfer matrix can be given in terms of the resolvent of the non-Hermitian matrix, $\tilde{G}(z, E) = [\mathcal{H}(z) - E]^{-1}$. Note that $[\tilde{G}(z, E)_{ij}]^\dagger = \tilde{G}(1/z^*, E^*)_{ji}$. With the same procedure as in section 2, one obtains two identities

$$\begin{pmatrix} z\tilde{G}_{1,1} \\ \tilde{G}_{N,1} \end{pmatrix} = T(E) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_{1,1} \\ (1/z)\tilde{G}_{N,1} - I \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} z\tilde{G}_{1,N} - I \\ \tilde{G}_{N,N} \end{pmatrix} = T(E) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_{1,N} \\ (1/z)\tilde{G}_{N,N} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.1)$$

which join into the matrix relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} z\tilde{G}_{1,N} - I & z\tilde{G}_{1,1} \\ \tilde{G}_{N,N} & \tilde{G}_{N,1} \end{pmatrix} = T(E) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_{1,N} & \tilde{G}_{1,1} \\ (1/z)\tilde{G}_{N,N} & (1/z)\tilde{G}_{N,1} - I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

Simple steps lead to the final representation

$$\frac{1}{T(E) - z} = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{G}_{1,N} & (1/z)\tilde{G}_{1,1} \\ -(1/z)\tilde{G}_{N,N} & (1/z^2)\tilde{G}_{N,1} - (1/z)I \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

which, by taking the trace, provides equation (3.6).

Note that the corner blocks of \tilde{G} can be expressed in closed form in terms of the corner blocks of G , by means of the Lippman-Schwinger equations

$$G_{i,j} = \tilde{G}_{i,j} + \frac{1}{z} G_{i,1} \tilde{G}_{N,j} + z G_{i,N} \tilde{G}_{1,j}. \quad (4.4)$$

Let us concentrate on the case where $z = e^{i\varphi}$ and E is real; the matrix $\mathcal{K}(E, e^{i\varphi})$ is Hermitian, therefore its eigenvalues are real. By remark (c) the eigenvalues for $\varphi = 0, \pi$ are symmetric; they also mark the extrema of the bands [18]: it follows that $\mathcal{K}(E, e^{i\varphi})$ has as many positive as many negative eigenvalues and $(-1)^{NM} \det \mathcal{K}(E, e^{i\varphi}) > 0$. The eigenvalues of $Q(E)$ are $M - \mu$ positive pairs (t_a, t_a^{-1}) , with $t_a = e^{\lambda_a} > 1$, 2μ eigenvalues being equal to unity. In terms of the eigenvalues of $Q(E)$ equation (5.2) reads

$$(2 \sin(\frac{1}{2}\varphi))^{2\mu} \prod_{a=1}^{M-\mu} (2 \cosh \lambda_a - 2 \cos \varphi) = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} |\det L_k|^{-2} (-1)^{NM} \det \mathcal{K}(E, e^{i\varphi}). \quad (5.3)$$

By taking the logarithm and integrating with respect to φ , we end with a formula of Thouless type:

$$\sum_a \lambda_a(E) = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \log |\det L_k| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \log [(-1)^{NM} \det \mathcal{K}(E, e^{i\varphi})]. \quad (5.4)$$

For real z and E real or complex it is convenient, at least to simplify the notation, to convert the matrix $\mathcal{K}(E, z)$ into another form; there is much freedom since only the determinant of the matrix matters. Let us choose to left- and right-multiply the matrix $\mathcal{K}(E, z)$ by unitary matrices to give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}'(E, z) &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} I & P \\ -iI & iP \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}(E, z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -I & iI \\ P & iP \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} - \text{Re } E + U & -iV - \text{Im } E \\ iV - \text{Im } E & -\mathcal{H} + \text{Re } E + U \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where P is the same matrix of size NM defined after (5.2), U and V are block diagonal matrices, each of the N diagonal blocks having size M . The only nonzero blocks are: $U_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2}(z - z^{-1})I$, $V_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})I$ and $V_{N,N} = -I$. With this transformation, we obtain the equivalent form of the duality relation:

$$\det[T(E)^\dagger T(E) - z] = (-1)^{NM} (-z)^M |\det(L_{N-1} \cdots L_1)|^{-2} \det \mathcal{K}'(E, z). \quad (5.6)$$

The matrix $\mathcal{K}'(E, z)$ is Hermitian for any E in the complex plane and real z , and it has the advantage of containing the matrix \mathcal{H} in the diagonal blocks, albeit with opposite sign; a similar structure appears in a paper by Efetov [24]. It has the following properties:

- (a) $\mathcal{K}'(E, z)^\dagger = \mathcal{K}'(E, z^*)$
- (b) $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}'(E, z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\mathcal{K}'(E^*, 1/z)$
- (c) $\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}'(E, z) \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{K}'(E^*, -1/z)$.

6. Conclusions

In the present paper and in [18] I have considered a class of Hamiltonians characterized by a block Jacobi structure which is shared by many interesting models of quantum disordered transport. For a single Hamiltonian matrix I have obtained exact relations that allow one to describe spectral properties of transfer matrices through properties of the Hamiltonian itself. The identities involve general boundary conditions that imply a close connection between

transfer matrices and non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, and make the statistical analysis less involved, since the statistical ensemble is usually defined for the Hamiltonian.

Some equations, like (1.9), are suited for the supersymmetric technique. Two problems arise, which have already been considered in the literature [25]: (1) the need for a special formalism for the determination of the density of complex eigenvalues from the knowledge of the average resolvent; (2) the ‘Hermitianization’ procedure for representing ratios of determinants, which provide traces of resolvents by differentiation, as Gaussian superintegrals. These problems are absent while considering the relation for $T^\dagger T$.

References

- [1] Kramer B and MacKinnon A 1993 Localization: theory and experiment *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **56** 1469
- [2] Dröse T, Batsch M, Zharekeshv I and Kramer B 1998 Phase diagram of localization in a magnetic field *Phys. Rev. B* **57** 37
- [3] Eilmes A, Römer R A and Schreiber M 1998 The two-dimensional Anderson model of localization with random hopping *Eur. Phys. J. B* **1** 29
Kawarabayashi T, Kramer B and Ohtsuki T 1998 Anderson transition in three-dimensional disordered systems with randomly varying magnetic flux *Phys. Rev. B* **57** 11 842
- [4] Fyodorov Y V and Mirlin A D 1991 Scaling properties of localization in Random Band Matrices: a σ -model approach *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 2405
Silvestrov P G 1997 Summing graphs for random band matrices *Phys. Rev. E* **55** 6419
- [5] Casati G, Chirikov B V, Guarneri I and Izrailev F M 1996 Quantum ergodicity and localization in conservative systems: the Wigner band random matrix model *Phys. Lett.* **223A** 430
- [6] Casati G, Guarneri I, Molinari L and Życzkowski K 1994 Periodic Band Random matrices and conductance in disordered media *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** 2697
Casati G, Guarneri I and Maspero G 1997 Landauer and Thouless conductance: a band random matrix approach *J. Physique I* **7** 729
Iida S, Weidenmüller A and Zuk J A 1990 Statistical scattering theory, the supersymmetric method and universal conductance fluctuations *Ann. Phys., NY* **200** 219
- [7] Jacquod Ph and Shepelyansky D L 1995 Hidden Breit–Wigner distribution and other properties of random band matrices with preferential basis *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** 3501
- [8] Brézin E and Zee A 1995 Lattices of matrices *Nucl. Phys. B* **441** [FS] 409
Hikami S and Zee A 1995 Complex random matrix models with possible applications to spin-impurity scattering in quantum Hall fluids *Nucl. Phys. B* **446** [FS] 337
Brézin E, Hikami S and Zee A 1996 Oscillating density of states near zero energy for matrices made of blocks with possible application to the random flux problem *Nucl. Phys. B* **464** [FS] 411
- [9] Crisanti A, Paladin G and Vulpiani A 1993 *Products of Random Matrices in Statistical Physics (Springer Series in Solid State Sciences 104)* (Berlin: Springer)
- [10] Thouless D J 1972 A relation between the density of states and the range of localization for one-dimensional random systems *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **5** 77
- [11] Pendry J B 1994 Symmetry and transport of waves in one-dimensional disordered systems *Adv. Phys.* **43** 461
- [12] Isopi M and Newman C M 1992 The triangle law for Lyapunov exponents of large random matrices *Commun. Math. Phys.* **143** 591
- [13] Cook J and Derrida B 1990 Lyapunov exponents of large, sparse random matrices and the problem of directed polymers with complex random weights *J. Stat. Phys.* **61** 961
- [14] Beenakker C W 1997 Random-matrix theory of quantum transport *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **69** 731
- [15] MacKinnon A and Kramer B 1981 One parameter scaling of localization length and conductance in disordered systems *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47** 1546
- [16] Markos P 1997 Universal scaling of Lyapunov exponents *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** 3441
- [17] Kottos T, Politi A, Izrailev F M and Ruffo S 1996 Scaling properties of Lyapunov spectra for the band random matrix model *Phys. Rev. E* **53** R5553
Kottos T, Politi A and Izrailev F M 1998 Finite size corrections in Lyapunov spectra for band random matrices, cond-mat/9801223
- [18] Molinari L 1997 Transfer matrices and tridiagonal block Hamiltonians with periodic and scattering boundary conditions *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** 983
- [19] Hatano N and Nelson D R 1996 Localization transition in quantum mechanics *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** 570

- [20] Goldsheid I and Khoruzhenko B 1998 Distribution of eigenvalues in non-Hermitian Anderson models *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 2897
Feinberg J and Zee A 1997 Spectral curves of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, cond-mat/9710040
- [21] Gurarie V and Zee A 1998 Localization length from single-particle properties in disordered electronic systems, cond-mat/9802042
Schnerb N M and Nelson D R 1998 Winding numbers, complex currents, and Non-Hermitian localization *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 5172
- [22] Brouwer P W, Silvestrov P G and Beenakker C W J 1997 Theory of directed localization in one dimension *Phys. Rev. B* **56** R4333
- [23] Aptekarev A I and Nikishin E M 1984 The scattering problem for a discrete Sturm–Liouville operator *Math. USSR Sbornik* **49** 325
- [24] Efetov K B 1997 Quantum disordered systems with a direction *Phys. Rev. B* **56** 9630
- [25] Feinberg J and Zee A 1997 Non-hermitian random matrix theory: method of hermitian reduction *Nucl. Phys. B* **504** [FS] 579